

	INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NURSING DIDACTICS Homepage: https://www.nursingdidactics.com/index.php/ijnd	
---	---	---

Health Planning and Management in Brazil

¹Sergio Vital da Silva Junior, ²Ana Flavia Lima Vital, ³Aryne Mércia Soares Rodrigues Lucena, ⁴Sanni Moraes de Oliveira, ⁵Magna Severina Teixeira Magalhaes, ⁶Fabiana Regina de Souza, ⁷Ivaldo Menezes de Melo Junior, ⁸Hortênsia Paula Bernardino Ribeiro

¹PhD in Nursing, Institution: Federal University of Paraíba, Address: João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil

Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3359-6640>

²Specialist in University Extension and Sustainable Development, Institution: Federal University of Paraíba, Address: João Pessoa-Paraíba, Brazil

³Graduated in nursing, Institution: Napoleão Laureano Hospital, Address: João Pessoa -Paraíba, Brazil

⁴PhD in Nursing, Institution: Centro Universitário de Patos, Address: Patos-Paraíba, Brazil

⁵Specialist in Public Health, Family Health and Urban Health, Institution: Municipal Health Department of Recife City Hall, Address: Recife-Pernambuco, Brazil

⁶Graduated in nursing, Institution: Municipal Health Department of Recife City Hall, Address: Recife-Pernambuco, Brazil

⁷Master in Religious Sciences, Institution: Federal University of Paraíba, Address: João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil

⁸Graduated in Nursing, Institution: Fundação PB-Saúde. Address: João Pessoa -Paraíba, Brazil.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52845/IJND/2025/15-09-1>

Abstract: Introduction: Health planning and management are essential tools for realizing the constitutional right to health and consolidating the principles of the Unified Health System (SUS). Planning consists of a systematic process of defining objectives, strategies, and actions aimed at meeting the population's needs, while management involves organizing and coordinating human, financial, and technological resources to achieve pre-established goals. These processes, when coordinated, enable efficient, equitable, and comprehensive healthcare. **Legal Basis and Public Policies:** Brazilian legislation, particularly the 1988 Constitution, Laws No. 8,080/1990 and No. 8,142/1990, and Decree No. 7,508/2011, establishes the normative foundations for planning and management, ensuring decentralization, regionalization, and social participation. Furthermore, policies such as the National Primary Care Policy (PNAB) and the Continuing Health Education Policy reinforce the need for integration between professional training, democratic management, and care practices. **Principles and Guidelines:** The principles of universality, comprehensiveness and equity guide planning, which can be strategic, tactical or operational, allowing for everything from the formulation of long-term guidelines to the day-to-day organization of services. **Instruments and Methodologies:** Instruments such as health plans, Integrated Agreed Programming (PPI), information systems, audits, and indicators are essential for monitoring results and ensuring continuous improvement. **Challenges and Future Perspectives:** Despite progress, challenges persist, such as underfunding, regional inequalities, and administrative bureaucracy. In this context, technological innovation, telemedicine, and evidence-based management emerge as ways to strengthen the effectiveness of the SUS and ensure quality, sustainability, and equity in care for the population.

Descriptors: Health Planning; Health Management; Unified Health System; Health Policies; Health Assessment.

INTRODUCTION AND BASIC CONCEPTS OF HEALTH PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

Health planning and management are essential tools for ensuring equitable and quality access to health services. Health planning refers to the systematic process of defining objectives, strategies, and actions necessary to meet the population's needs. Health management is the ability to organize, coordinate, and control human, financial, and technological resources to achieve pre-established goals. Both concepts are fundamental for healthcare professionals, as they directly impact the quality of care and patient safety (Araújo; Santos; Santos, 2025).

The integration of planning and management ensures that available resources are used efficiently and effectively. In the Brazilian context, health planning must be aligned with the guidelines of the Unified Health System (SUS), as set out in the 1988 Federal Constitution. Law No. 8,080/1990, known as the Organic Health Law, regulates the organization of the SUS and establishes planning and

management responsibilities at all federal levels (Silva; Branco; Lelis, 2025).

Law No. 8,142/1990 complements the legislation by ensuring social participation and the decentralization of resources, which are fundamental to social control and democratic management. Health planning is not merely administrative but also involves epidemiological analysis and situational diagnosis of the population's health conditions. Efficient management depends on assessment and monitoring tools and performance indicators that allow for course corrections and process improvements (Vieira-Da-Silva, 2023).

Healthcare planning can be strategic, tactical, or operational, depending on the decision-making level and scope of actions. Strategic planning aims to define long-term goals and guide sustainable public policies. Tactical planning translates strategic guidelines into concrete action plans for healthcare units and services. Operational planning organizes specific activities, human resources, and work

flows, ensuring the execution of daily actions (Martins; Pereira, 2025).

LEGISLATION AND PUBLIC POLICIES THAT REGULATE HEALTH PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

The 1988 Federal Constitution enshrines health as a right for all and a duty of the State, ensuring public funding and democratic management. Law No. 8,080/1990 regulates health actions and services, defining the planning, organization, implementation, and control of the Unified Health System (SUS). Law No. 8,142/1990 establishes the transfer of resources from the Union to states and municipalities, linking them to health plans and ensuring community participation. Decree No. 7,508/2011 regulates the organization, operation, and integration of networked health services with in the SUS (Brasil, 1988, Brasil, 1990, Brasil, 2011).

The National Curricular Guidelines and Ministry of Health policies guide the training of health professionals to work in planning and management. The National Primary Care Policy (PNAB) defines guidelines for primary care, which is essential for coordinating municipal health plans. The National Policy for Continuing Education in Health integrates planning and management with continuing professional training (Brasil, 2017).

Law No. 10,216/2001, which protects and protects the rights of people with mental disorders, highlights the need for specific mental health planning. The National Health Plan establishes objectives, goals, and indicators for defined periods, guiding federal strategic planning. The Integrated Agreed Program (PPI) coordinates services and resources among municipalities, states, and the federal government, consolidating regional planning. Health planning must be participatory, including health councils and municipal, state, and national conferences. The Unified Health System (SUS) ensures that planning encompasses prevention, promotion, care, and rehabilitation, in accordance with constitutional principles (Fancchini; Tomasi; Dilélio, 2018).

The legislation emphasizes the importance of performance assessment tools, such as management reports, audits, and health indicators. Public health policies are complemented by specific programs, such as immunizations, family health, and hospital care, which require integrated planning. Current legislation establishes that planning and management must be continuous, flexible, and adaptable to epidemiological and social needs (Trindade et al., 2025).

PRINCIPLES, GUIDELINES AND OBJECTIVES OF HEALTH PLANNING

Health planning is guided by the principles of the Unified Health System (SUS): universality, comprehensiveness, and equity. Universality ensures that everyone has access to health services, regardless of social or economic status. Comprehensiveness ensures continuous and coordinated care, encompassing promotion, prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation. Equity promotes the allocation of resources according to differentiated needs, prioritizing vulnerable populations (Boneth; Marques; Marques, 2025).

Hierarchy organizes services into levels of care: primary, secondary, and tertiary, ensuring appropriate patient referrals. Regionalization defines health territories, promoting shared planning between municipalities and states. Strategic health planning involves context analysis, goal setting, and assessment of available resources. Operational planning details daily activities, work flows, and health team assignments. The annual health program translates strategic objectives into concrete actions for care units. State and municipal plans must be compatible with the national plan, ensuring coherence and coordination (Coutinho; Teixeira, 2021).

Health planning considers epidemiological, demographic, and socioeconomic indicators. Risk and contingency management is an essential part of planning, especially during health crises. Planning must include a balanced distribution of human resources, equipment, and supplies. Evaluation of results, through continuous monitoring, allows for adjustments and improvements to planned actions. Health planning includes medication and technology management policies, ensuring safe and rational access (Bastos et al., 2022).

Strategic planning also applies to the management of hospitals, out patient clinics, and community programs. Planning must integrate health actions with sectors such as education, sanitation, and social assistance. Effective planning depends on qualified leadership, team engagement, and institutional support. Evidence-based management is essential for decision-making, rational use of resources, and continuous improvement. Coordinated planning and management ensure that the principles of the Unified Health System (SUS) are implemented in health care and administrative practices (Rangel; Pinho, 2025).

STRUCTURE AND LEVELS OF MANAGEMENT IN HEALTH

Health management occurs at three levels: federal, state, and municipal, each with specific responsibilities. The federal level coordinates national policies, technical standards, and overall funding for the Unified Health System (SUS). The Ministry of Health is responsible for regulating, monitoring, and evaluating actions nationwide. States organize regional health networks, support municipalities, and provide medium- and high-complexity services. Municipalities are responsible for providing primary care and local management of health services (Trindade et al., 2025).

Decentralization allows for greater proximity to the population and adaptation to regional demands. Hierarchy ensures that simple cases are resolved in primary care, while complex cases are referred to secondary or tertiary care. The coordination of healthcare networks integrates different levels and services, promoting continuity of care. Referral hospitals, laboratories, and specialized units comprise secondary and tertiary care. Primary care includes the Family Health Strategy, consultations, vaccinations, and chronic disease monitoring (Silva; Cunha, 2025).

Social oversight involves health councils and conferences, allowing public participation in management. Integrated

agreed-upon programming (PPI) coordinates supply and demand, avoiding duplication and wasted resources. Territorialized management ensures that services are distributed according to epidemiological profiles and population density. Coordination between levels is essential for prevention, early diagnosis, and appropriate referrals. Municipal management is responsible for basic health units, local programs, and community integration. State management coordinates regional hospitals and specialized services, supporting smaller municipalities (Mota et al., 2025).

Federal management defines policies, technical standards, and strategic funding, ensuring national cohesion. Joint planning across levels promotes equity and comprehensiveness, minimizing regional inequalities. Social participation reinforces transparency, oversight, and the democratic legitimacy of health care management. The SUS management structure allows for flexibility, integration, and continuous coordination across federal levels (Rangel; Pinho, 2025).

PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT INSTRUMENTS AND METHODOLOGIES

The National Health Plan is the main strategic planning instrument for the Unified Health System (SUS). State and municipal plans translate national guidelines into local actions. The Integrated Agreed Programming (PPI) organizes the supply and demand of services between municipalities and states. Health indicators allow for the evaluation of service performance, efficiency, and quality. Information systems, such as e-SUS, facilitate data collection, monitoring, and service management. Audits, management reports, and periodic evaluations are tools for control and continuous improvement (Boneth; Marques; Marques, 2025).

Human resource management includes the training, distribution, and development of healthcare professionals. The management of supplies, equipment, and technologies ensures the availability and rational use of resources. Financial management involves budgeting, federal, state, and municipal transfers, ensuring sustainability. Participatory planning involves health councils, conferences, and public consultations. Integration between primary, specialized, and hospital care is promoted by clinical protocols and organized workflows (Bastos et al., 2022).

Management methodologies include strategic planning, results-based management, and evidence-based management. The use of epidemiological indicators helps prioritize actions and allocate resources. Continuous assessment allows for adjustments in programming and improvements in service delivery. Integrated management across sectors and levels ensures cohesion, efficiency, and meeting the population's needs (Rangel; Pinho, 2025).

CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES IN HEALTHCARE PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

Chronic underfunding compromises the maintenance of services and the expansion of healthcare coverage. Regional inequality in the distribution of human and technological

resources is a persistent challenge. Bureaucracy and administrative complexity hinder coordination between levels of management. Managing health crises, such as epidemics and pandemics, requires rapid and efficient planning. The integration of teaching, research, and extension is necessary to qualify professionals and improve healthcare practices (Silva; Cunha, 2025).

Valuing healthcare professionals directly impacts the quality of care. Financial sustainability demands long-term strategic planning. The incorporation of technologies must be planned to serve the population equitably. Public engagement in councils and conferences still faces challenges in terms of representation. Continuous assessment and monitoring of indicators are essential to address inequalities and inefficiencies (Macedo et al., 2025).

PERSPECTIVES AND INNOVATIONS IN PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

The use of digital technologies, such as telemedicine and information systems, expands the scope and efficiency of planning. Evidence-based management enables more assertive decisions, reducing wasted resources. Intersectoral integration strengthens health promotion, prevention, and rehabilitation policies. Strategic planning must prioritize equity, sustainability, and ongoing social participation. In short, health planning and management are indispensable tools for implementing the principles of the Unified Health System (SUS), ensuring comprehensive, universal, and equitable care, based on current legislation, social participation, and continuous innovation (Mota et al., 2025).

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

In this text, the study of health planning and management highlights that these instruments are not limited to administrative processes but constitute fundamental pillars for guaranteeing the right to health in Brazil. By linking concepts, legislation, guidelines, and practices, it becomes clear that planning and management are inseparable, as both articulate resources, goals, and strategies aimed at implementing the principles of the Unified Health System (SUS). Thus, they are consolidated as elements that ensure universality, comprehensiveness, and equity in access to services.

Another relevant point is the importance of legislation as a structuring basis for planning and management. Health laws, decrees, and public policies serve as normative guidelines that confer legality and legitimacy on the system's actions. Furthermore, social oversight ensures popular participation, which is essential for building democratic and transparent management, reinforcing the public and collective nature of health.

Planning and management methodologies and instruments also represent significant advances, enabling the rational organization of human, financial, and technological resources. The use of indicators, audits, and information systems contributes to continuous monitoring and evidence-based decision-making. This framework allows strategies to be adjusted in response to epidemiological and social

changes, while maintaining the quality and efficiency of services provided.

On the other hand, contemporary challenges show that there is still a long way to go. Underfunding, regional inequality, and bureaucracy persist as barriers that compromise the effectiveness of health policies. Furthermore, the management of recent health crises has demonstrated the need for greater integration between federal levels and constant innovation in planning processes. These obstacles reinforce the importance of rethinking practices and strengthening mechanisms for participation, efficiency, and sustainability.

Future prospects point to the centrality of technological innovation and intersectoral integration in strengthening healthcare planning and management. Digital tools, telemedicine, and evidence-based management emerge as alternatives to address historical challenges and promote greater equity. Therefore, it can be concluded that planning and management, when well-structured and coordinated with public policies, social participation, and innovation, become key allies in building a more just, accessible, and efficient healthcare system.

REFERÊNCIAS

- [1]. ARAÚJO, C.E. L.; SANTOS, D.V.D.; SANTOS, I.S. Contribuições do 5o Congresso Brasileiro de Política, Planejamento e Gestão em Saúde para consolidação do campo da Saúde Coletiva. **Cad. Saúde Pública**. v 41, n. 2, p.: e00002225. 2025. Doi: 10.1590/0102-311XPT002225
- [2]. BASTOS, V.S. et al. Saúde do idoso: política de humanização e acolhimento na atenção básica. **Revista Enfermagem Atual In Derme**, v. 96, n. 37, 2022.
- [3]. BONETH, S.S.N.S.; MARQUES, E.J.G.; MARQUES, E.F. Saúde para todos: Desafios e oportunidades para as políticas públicas de saúde no Brasil. **Periodicos Cedigma**, v. 1, n. 2, p. 40-47, 2025.
- [4]. BRASIL. **Constituição da República Federativa do Brasil de 1988**. Brasília, 1988.
- [5]. BRASIL. **Decreto Nº 7.508, de 28 de junho de 2011**. Brasília, 2011.
- [6]. BRASIL. **Lei Nº 8.142, de 28 de dezembro de 1990**. Brasília, 1990.
- [7]. BRASIL. **Portaria Nº 2.436, de 21 de setembro de 2017**. Brasília, 2017.
- [8]. COUTINHO, K.L.B.; TEIXEIRA, F.B. Atenção domiciliar: Desafios para a promoção da saúde de idosos. **Research, Society and Development**, v. 10, n. 3, p. e58810313775-e58810313775, 2021.
- [9]. FANCCHINI, L.A. TOMASI, E. DILÉLIO, A.S. Qualidade da Atenção Primária à Saúde no Brasil: avanços, desafios e perspectivas. **Revista Saúde Debate**, v. 42, n. 1, p.: 208-223. 2018. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-11042018S114>
- [10]. MACEDO, S. F. et al. Saúde Mental dos Profissionais de Saúde: Fatores de risco, estratégias de prevenção e impactos na qualidade do cuidado. **Revista JRG de Estudos Acadêmicos**. v. 8, n. 18, p. e082192, 2025. DOI: 10.55892/jrg.v8i18.2192
- [11]. MARTINS, P.F.M.; PEREIRA, R.J. **Gestão e Planejamento em Saúde Pública Caderno do Tutor**. Universidade Federal do Tocantins: PDF. Disponível em: http://umbu.uft.edu.br/bitstream/11612/7498/1/Caderno%20do%20Tutor%202024%20PDF_2025.pdf. Acesso em: 11 de set. de 2025.
- [12]. MOTA, V.A. et al. Gestão da informação para apoiar o processo decisório do controle social no Sistema Único de Saúde, Brasil. **RECIIS**, v. 19, n. 2, p. 1–17, 2025. DOI: 10.29397/reciis.v19i2.4368.
- [13]. RANGEL, P.; PINHO, C.A. Desafios da gestão do tempo em líderes intermediários: estudo numa unidade hospitalar. **Revista de Investigação & Inovação em Saúde**. v. 8 n. 1. e434. 2025. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37914/riis.v8i1.434>
- [14]. SILVA, A. M., BRANCO, M. A. DE S., LELIS, H. R. O direito à saúde na constituição de 1988: desafios e perspectivas. **Revista Ibero-Americana De Humanidades, Ciências E Educação**, v. 11, n. 2, p.: 11–24. 2025. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.51891/rea.v11i2.18019>
- [15]. SILVA, L.E.T.; CUNHA, A.C.B. Descentralização e municipalização da saúde pública no Rio Grande do Sul: os avanços, os desafios e as perspectivas da gestão plena municipal no Sistema Único de Saúde. **REVISTA DELOS**, v. 18, n. 66, p. e4616, 2025. DOI: 10.55905/rdelosv18.n66-029
- [16]. TRINDADE, G.B. et al. Análise das políticas públicas em saúde no Brasil e o cuidado ao idoso na atenção básica. **Revista Políticas Públicas & Cidades**, v. 14, n. 2, p.: e1832. 2025. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.23900/2359-1552v14n2-81-2025>
- [17]. VIEIRA-DA-SILVA, LM. Subcampos e espaços na Saúde Coletiva: fronteiras e integração. **Interface (Botucatu)**. v. 27, p.: e220380. 2023.